# **Oscillation Properties of Solutions for Certain Nonlinear Difference Equations of Third Order**

B. Selvaraj<sup>1</sup>, I. Mohammed Ali Jaffer<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>The Dean of Science and Humanities, Nehru Institute of Engineering and Technology <sup>2</sup>Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Karunya University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract : In this paper some sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of certain difference equations are obtained. Examples are given to illustrate the results.

Key words: Linear, Nonlinear, Difference equations, Oscillations and Non-oscillation.

AMS Subject Classification: 39 A 11.

#### **1** Introduction

We are concerned with the oscillatory properties of all solutions of third order nonlinear difference equations of the form

$$\Delta^{2} \left( \frac{q_{n}}{a_{n}} \Delta \left( x_{n} + c_{n} x_{n-\sigma} \right) \right) + p_{n} \Delta x_{n} + q_{n} f(x_{n+1}) = 0; n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(1.1)

$$\Delta^{2}\left(\frac{q_{n}}{a_{n}}\phi(x_{n})\Delta\left(x_{n}+c_{n}x_{n-\sigma}\right)\right)+p_{n}\Delta x_{n}+q_{n}f(x_{n+1})=0; n=0,1,2,\dots$$
(1.2)

$$\Delta^{2}\left(\frac{q_{n}}{a_{n}}\Delta\left(x_{n}+c_{n}x_{n-\sigma}\right)\right)+q_{n}f(x_{n+1})=0; n=0,1,2,\dots$$
(1.3)

$$\Delta^{2}\left(\frac{q_{n}}{a_{n}}\phi(x_{n})\Delta\left(x_{n}+c_{n}x_{n-\sigma}\right)\right)+p_{n}\Delta x_{n}+q_{n}f(x_{n+1})=0; n=0,1,2,...$$
(1.4)

Where the following conditions are assumed to hold.

(H1)  $\{a_n\}, \{p_n\}, \{q_n\}$  and  $\{c_n\}$  are real positive sequence and  $q_n \neq 0$  for infinitely many values of n.

(H2)  $f: R \rightarrow R$  is continues and xf(x) > 0 for all  $x \neq 0$ .

(H3) there exists a real valued function g such that

$$f(u_n) - f(v_n) = g(u_n, v_n)[(u_n + c_n u_{n-\sigma}) - (v_n + c_n v_{n-\sigma})], \text{ for all } u_n \neq 0, v_n \neq 0, c \ge 0, n > \sigma > 0 \text{ and } c_n \ge 0$$

International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume 2, Issue 2, February-2011 ISSN 2229-5518

$$g(u_n, v_n) \ge L > 0 \in R.$$

(H4)  $\phi: R \to R$  is continues for all  $x \neq 0, \phi(x_n) > 0$ .

(H5) 
$$\sum_{n=M}^{\infty} (n+1) p_n^2 < \infty.$$
  
(H6)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q_n^2}{a_n^2} < \infty.$ 

(H7) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)q_n = \infty.$$

(H8) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{nq_n} = \infty.$$

By a solution of equation (1.1) –(1.4), we mean a real sequence  $\{x_n\}$  satisfying (1.1)-(1.4) for

 $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  A solution  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be oscillatory if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative. Otherwise, it is called non-oscillatory. The forward difference operator  $\Delta$  is defined by

 $\Delta x_n = x_{n+1} - x_n$ 

In recent years, much research is going in the study of oscillatory behavior of solutions of third order difference equations. For more details on oscillatory behavior of difference equations, one may refer [1-22].

#### 2 Main Results

In this section, we present some sufficient condition for the oscillation of all the solutions of (1.1)-(1.4). We begin with the following lemma.

#### Lemma 1

Let P(n, s, x) be defined on  $N \times N \times R^+$ ,  $N = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ ,  $R^+ = [0, \infty)$  such that for fixed n and s, the function P(n, s, x) is non-decreasing in x. Let  $\{r_n\}$  be a given sequence and the sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{z_n\}$  be defined on N satisfying, for all  $n \in N$ ,

$$x_n \ge r_n + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} P(n, s, x_s),$$
 (2.1)

International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume 2, Issue 2, February-2011 ISSN 2229-5518

And

$$z_n = r_n + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} P(n, s, z_s), \qquad (2.2)$$

respectively. Then  $z_n \leq x_n$  for all  $n \in N$ .

This proof can be found in [18].

#### Theorem 1

In addition to (H1), (H2) and (H3).assume that (H5), (H6), (H7) and (H8) hold and let  $z_n = x_n + c_n x_{n-\sigma}$ . Then, every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory.

### Proof:

Suppose the contrary. Then we may assume that  $\{x_n\}$  be a non oscillatory solution of (1.1),

such that  $x_n > 0(orx_n < 0)$  for all  $n \ge M - 1, M > 0$  is an integer and let  $b_n = \frac{q_n}{a_n}$ .

Equation (1.1) implies

$$\Delta (b_{n+1}\Delta z_{n+1}) - \Delta (b_n\Delta z_n) + p_n\Delta x_n + q_n f(x_{n+1}) = 0$$
(2.3)

Multiplying (2.3) by  $\frac{n+1}{f(x_{n+1})}$  and summing from M to (n-1), we obtain

$$\sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \frac{s+1}{f(x_{s+1})} \Delta(b_{s+1}\Delta z_{s+1}) - \sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \frac{s+1}{f(x_{s+1})} \Delta(b_s \Delta z_s) + \sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \frac{s+1}{f(x_{s+1})} p_s \Delta x_s + \sum_{s=M}^{n-1} (s+1)q_s = 0.$$
(2.4)

But

$$\sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \frac{s+1}{f(x_{s+1})} \Delta \left( b_{s+1} \Delta z_{s+1} \right) = \frac{(n+1)b_{n+1} \Delta x_{n+1}}{f(x_{n+1})} - \frac{(M+1)b_{M+1} \Delta x_{M+1}}{f(x_{M+1})} - \sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \frac{b_{s+2} \Delta z_{s+2}}{f(x_{s+2})} + \sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \frac{(s+1)b_{s+2}g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1}) \Delta z_{s+1} \Delta z_{s+2}}{f(x_{s+1})f(x_{s+2})}$$
(2.5)

Also,

$$\sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \frac{s+1}{f(x_{s+1})} \Delta(b_s \Delta z_s) = \frac{(n+1)b_n \Delta x_n}{f(x_{n+1})} - \frac{(M+1)b_M \Delta x_M}{f(x_{M+1})} - \sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \frac{b_{s+1} \Delta z_{s+1}}{f(x_{s+2})} + \sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \frac{(s+1)b_{s+1}g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})(\Delta z_{s+1})^2}{f(x_{s+1})f(x_{s+2})}$$
(2.6)

Substituting (2.5) and (2.6) in (2.4), we have

International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume 2, Issue 2, February-2011 ISSN 2229-5518

$$\left(\frac{(n+1)b_{n+1}\Delta z_{n+1}}{f(x_{n+1})} - \frac{(n+1)b_{n}\Delta z_{n}}{f(x_{n+1})}\right) + \sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \left(\frac{(s+1)b_{s+2}g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})\Delta z_{s+1}\Delta z_{s+2}}{f(x_{s+2})} - \frac{(s+1)b_{s+1}g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})(\Delta z_{s+1})^{2}}{f(x_{s+2})}\right) - \sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \left(\frac{b_{s+2}\Delta z_{s+2}}{f(x_{s+2})} - \frac{b_{s+1}\Delta z_{s+1}}{f(x_{s+2})}\right) + \sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \frac{s+1}{f(x_{s+1})}p_{s}\Delta x_{s} + \sum_{s=M}^{n-1} (s+1)q_{s} = \left(\frac{(M+1)b_{M+1}\Delta z_{M+1}}{f(x_{M+1})} - \frac{(M+1)b_{M}\Delta z_{M}}{f(x_{M+1})}\right)$$
(2.7)

Using Schwarz's inequality, we have

$$\sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \left( \frac{b_{s+2} \Delta z_{s+2}}{f(x_{s+2})} \right) \leq \left( \sum_{s=M}^{n-1} (b_{s+2})^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\Delta z_{s+2}}{f(x_{s+2})} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}$$
(2.8)

$$\sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \left( \frac{b_{s+1} \Delta z_{s+1}}{f(x_{s+2})} \right) \le \left( \sum_{s=M}^{n-1} (b_{s+1})^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\Delta z_{s+1}}{f(x_{s+2})} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}$$
(2.9)

$$\sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \left( \frac{(s+1)b_{s+2}g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})\Delta z_{s+1}\Delta z_{s+2}}{f(x_{s+1})f(x_{s+2})} \right) \le \left( \sum_{s=M}^{n-1} (b_{s+2})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \left( \frac{(s+1)g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})\Delta z_{s+1}\Delta z_{s+2}}{f(x_{s+1})f(x_{s+2})} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(2.10)

$$\sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \left( \frac{(s+1)b_{s+1}g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})(\Delta z_{s+1})^2}{f(x_{s+1})f(x_{s+2})} \right) \le \left( \sum_{s=M}^{n-1} (b_{s+1})^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \left( \frac{(s+1)g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})(\Delta z_{s+1})^4}{f(x_{s+1})f(x_{s+2})} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}$$
(2.11)

And

$$\sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \left( \frac{(s+1)p_s \Delta x_s}{f(x_{s+1})} \right) \le \left( \sum_{s=M}^{n-1} (s+1)(p_s)^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{s=M}^{n-1} (s+1) \left( \frac{\Delta x_s}{f(x_{s+1})} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}$$
(2.12)

In view of (2.8), (2.9), (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12), the summation in (2.7) is bounded, we have

$$\left(\frac{(n+1)b_{n+1}\Delta z_{n+1}}{f(x_{n+1})} - \frac{(n+1)b_{n}\Delta z_{n}}{f(x_{n+1})}\right) - \left(\sum_{s=M}^{n-1} (b_{s+2})^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\Delta z_{s+2}}{f(x_{s+2})}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\sum_{s=M}^{n-1} (b_{s+1})^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\Delta z_{s+1}}{f(x_{s+2})}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\sum_{s=M}^{n-1} (b_{s+1})^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \left(\frac{(s+1)g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})\Delta z_{s+1}\Delta z_{s+2}}{f(x_{s+1})f(x_{s+2})}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\sum_{s=M}^{n-1} (b_{s+1})^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{s=M}^{n-1} \left(\frac{(s+1)g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})(\Delta z_{s+1})^{4}}{f(x_{s+1})f(x_{s+2})}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\sum_{s=M}^{n-1} (s+1)(p_{s})^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{s=M}^{n-1} (s+1)\left(\frac{\Delta x_{s}}{f(x_{s+1})}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\frac{(M+1)b_{M+1}\Delta z_{M+1}}{f(x_{M+1})} - \frac{(M+1)b_{M}\Delta z_{M}}{f(x_{M+1})}\right) - \sum_{s=M}^{n-1} (s+1)q_{s}$$

$$(2.13)$$

In view of (H5), (H6) and (H7), we get from (2.13) that  $\frac{(n+1)}{f}$ 

$$\frac{(n+1)\Delta(b_n\Delta z_n)}{f(x_{n+1})} \to -\infty \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Hence there exists  $M_1 \ge M$  such that  $\Delta(b_n \Delta z_n) < 0$  for  $n \ge M$ , which implies  $\Delta(b_n \Delta z_n) < -k, k > 0$ Summing the last inequality from m to (n-1), we obtain

$$\sum_{s=m}^{n-1} \Delta(b_s \Delta z_s) < \sum_{s=m}^{n-1} (-k)$$
  
That is  $b_n \Delta z_n < -k(n-m) + b_m \Delta z_m$ 

Therefore  $b_n \Delta z_n \to -\infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Hence there exists  $M_2 \ge M_1$  such that  $\Delta z_n < 0$  for  $n \ge M_2$  (2.14) Rewriting (2.7), we have

$$\frac{(n+1)b_{n+1}\Delta z_{n+1}}{f(x_{n+1})} + \sum_{s=M_2}^{n-1} \frac{(s+1)b_{s+2}g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})\Delta z_{s+1}\Delta z_{s+2}}{f(x_{s+1})f(x_{s+2})} = \frac{(n+1)b_n\Delta z_n}{f(x_{n+1})} + \frac{(M+1)b_{M+1}\Delta z_{M+1}}{f(x_{M+1})} - \frac{(M+1)b_M\Delta z_M}{f(x_{M+1})}$$
$$- \sum_{s=M}^{n-1} (s+1)q_s + \sum_{s=M_2}^{n-1} \frac{(s+1)b_{s+1}g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})(\Delta z_{s+1})^2}{f(x_{s+1})f(x_{s+2})} - \sum_{s=M}^{M_2^{-1}} \frac{(s+1)b_{s+2}g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})\Delta z_{s+1}\Delta z_{s+2}}{f(x_{s+1})f(x_{s+2})} - \sum_{s=M}^{M_2^{-1}} \frac{(s+1)b_{s+2}g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})\Delta z_{s+1}\Delta z_{s+2}}{f(x_{s+1})f(x_{s+2})} - \sum_{s=M_2}^{M_2^{-1}} \frac{(s+1)b_{s+2}g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})(\Delta z_{s+1})}{f(x_{s+1})f(x_{s+2})} - \sum_{s=M_2}^{M_2^{-1}} \frac{(s+1)b_{s+2}g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})(\Delta z_{s+1})}{f(x_{s+1})f(x_{s+2})} - \sum_{s=M_2}^{M_2^{-1}} \frac{(s+1)b_{s+2}g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})(\Delta z_{s+1})}{f(x_{s+1})f(x_{s+2})} - \sum_{s=M_2}^{M_2^{-1}} \frac{(s+1)b_{s+2}g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})(\Delta z_{s+1})}{f(x_{s+2})} - \sum_{s=M_2}^{M_2^{-1}} \frac{(s+1)b_{s+2}g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})(\Delta z_{s+1})}{f(x_{s+2})} - \sum_{s=M_2}^{M_2^{-1}} \frac{(s+1)b_{s+2}g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})(\Delta z_{s+1})}{f(x_{s+2})} - \sum_{s=M_2}^{M_2^{-1}} \frac{(s+1)b_{s+2}g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})}{f(x_{s+2})} - \sum_{s=M_2}^{M_2^{-1}} \frac{(s+1)b_{s+2}g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+$$

(2.15)

From (H1), (H7), (2.14) and (2.15), there exists an integer  $M_3 \ge M_2$ , such that

$$\frac{(n+1)b_{n+1}\Delta z_{n+1}}{f(x_{n+1})} + \sum_{s=M_2}^{n-1} \frac{(s+1)b_{s+2}g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})\Delta z_{s+1}\Delta z_{s+2}}{f(x_{s+1})f(x_{s+2})} \le -l, l \ge M_3 \text{ where } l \text{ is a positive integer.}$$

$$-\frac{(n+1)b_{n+1}\Delta z_{n+1}}{f(x_{n+1})} - \sum_{s=M_2}^{n-1} \frac{(s+1)b_{s+2}g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})\Delta z_{s+1}\Delta z_{s+2}}{f(x_{s+1})f(x_{s+2})} \ge l \qquad (2.16)$$

Let  $u_{n+1} = -(n+1)\Delta z_{n+1}$  (2.16) becomes

$$\frac{u_{n+1}b_{n+1}}{f(x_{n+1})} \ge l + \sum_{s=M_3}^{n-1} \frac{(s+1)b_{s+2}g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})\Delta z_{s+1}\Delta z_{s+2}}{f(x_{s+1})f(x_{s+2})}; n \ge M_3$$

International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume 2, Issue 2, February-2011 ISSN 2229-5518

(i.e) 
$$u_{n+1} \ge l \frac{f(x_{n+1})}{b_{n+1}} + \sum_{s=M_3}^{n-1} \frac{b_{s+2}f(x_{n+1})g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})(-\Delta z_{s+2})u_{s+1}}{b_{n+1}f(x_{s+1})f(x_{s+2})}$$
 (2.17)

Also, Let 
$$v_{n+1} = l \frac{f(x_{n+1})}{b_{n+1}} + \sum_{s=M_3}^{n-1} \frac{b_{s+2}f(x_{n+1})g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})(-\Delta z_{s+2})v_{s+1}}{b_{n+1}f(x_{s+1})f(x_{s+2})}$$
 (2.18)

Using lemma 1, we have, from (2.17) and (2.18)

$$\Rightarrow u_{n+1} \ge v_{n+1} \tag{2.19}$$

(2.18) implies 
$$v_{n+1} = \frac{f(x_{n+1})}{b_{n+1}} \left( l + \sum_{s=M_3}^{n-1} \frac{b_{s+2}g(x_{s+2}, x_{s+1})(-\Delta z_{s+2})v_{s+1}}{f(x_{s+1})f(x_{s+2})} \right)$$

This implies that

$$v_{n+1} \ge \frac{lf(x_{M_3})}{b_{n+1}}; n \ge M_3$$
 (2.20)

From (2.19) and (2.20), we have  $-(n+1)\Delta z_{n+1} \ge \frac{lf(x_{M_3})}{b_{n+1}}$ 

$$\Rightarrow \Delta z_{n+1} \le \frac{-lf(x_{M_3})}{(n+1)b_{n+1}} \tag{2.21}$$

Summing (2.21) from 
$$M_3$$
 to  $(n-1)$ , we have  $\sum_{s=M_3}^{n-1} \Delta z_{n+1} \le -lf(x_{M_3}) \sum_{s=M_3}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n+1)b_{n+1}}$   
That is  $z_{n+1} - z_{M_3+1} \le -lf(x_{M_3}) \sum_{s=M_3}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n+1)b_{n+1}}$   
 $\Rightarrow z_{n+1} \le z_{M_3+1} - lf(x_{M_3}) \sum_{s=M_3}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n+1)b_{n+1}}$   
 $\Rightarrow z_n = (x_n + c_n x_{n-\sigma}) \le 0$  For sufficiently large  $n$ ,

Which is a contradiction to the fact that  $x_n$  is eventually positive. The proof is similar for the case when

 $x_n$  is eventually negative. Hence the theorem is completely proved.

6

## Examples

#### **Example 1**

Consider the difference equation

$$\Delta^{2}\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\Delta\left(x_{n}+nx_{n-3}\right)\right)+\frac{9n^{2}+18n+5}{2n^{2}(n+1)(n+2)}\Delta x_{n}+\frac{x_{n+1}}{n(n+1)}=0$$
(E1)

All the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Hence every solution of equation (E1) is oscillatory.

#### Example 2

Consider the difference equation

$$\Delta^{2}\left(\frac{n+1}{n+2}\Delta\left(x_{n}+nx_{n-5}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{n^{3}}\sqrt{\frac{n}{n+1}}\Delta x_{n}+\frac{\left(x_{n+1}\right)^{3}}{\left(n+1\right)\left(n+2\right)}=0$$
(E2)

All the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Hence every solution of equation (E2) is oscillatory

#### Theorem 2

In addition to (H1), (H2) ,(H3)and (H4).assume that (H5), (H6), (H7) and (H8) hold and let  $z_n = x_n + c_n x_{n-\sigma}$ . Then, every solution of (1.2) is oscillatory.

## Theorem 3

In addition to (H1), (H2) and (H3).assume that (H6), (H7) and (H8) hold and let  $z_n = x_n + c_n x_{n-\sigma}$ . Then, every solution of (1.3) is oscillatory.

## Theorem 4

In addition to (H1), (H2), (H3) and (H4).assume that (H6), (H7) and (H8) hold and let  $z_n = x_n + c_n x_{n-\sigma}$ . Then, every solution of (1.4) is oscillatory.

Proofs of Theorem 2, Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 are similar to the proof of Theorem 1 and hence the details are omitted.

## Reference

[1] R.P.Agarwal: Difference equation and inequalities- theory, methods and Applications- 2nd edition

[2] R.P.Agarwal, Martin Bohner, Said R.Grace, Donal O'Regan: Discrete oscillation theory-CMIA

IJSER © 2011 http://www.ijser.org

*Book Series*, Volume 1, ISBN : 977-5945-19-4.

- [3] R.P.Agarwal, Mustafa F.Aktas and A.Tiryaki: On oscillation criteria for third order nonlinear delay differential equations-Archivum Mathematicum(BANO)- Tomus 45 (2009), 1-18.
- [4] W.T.Li, R.P.Agarwal : Interval oscilation critical for second order non linear differential equations with damping-Comp.Math.Appl.40: 217-230(2000).
- [5] John R.Greaf and E.Thandapani : Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of solutions of third order delay difference equations-Funkcialaj Ekvacioj, 42(1999),355-369.
- [6] Said. R.Grace, Ravi P.Agarwal and John R. Greaf : *Oscillation criteria for certain third order nonlinear difference equations* Appl.Anal. Discrete. Math,3(2009),27-28
- [7] Sh.Salem, K.R.Raslam : Oscillation of some second order damped difference equations-IJNS. vol.5(2008),No:3, pp : 246-254
- [8] B.Selvaraj and I.Mohammed ali jaffer : Oscillation Behavior of Certain Third order Linear Difference Equations-Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Volume 40, Number 2, 2010,pp 169-178.
- [9] B.Selvaraj and I.Mohammed ali jaffer : Oscillatory Properties of Fourth Order Neutral Delay Difference Equations-Journal of Computer and Mathematical Sciences-An Iternational Research Journal, Vol. 1(3), 364-373(2010).
- [10] B.Selvaraj and I.Mohammed ali jaffer :Oscillation Behavior of Certain Third order Nonlinear Difference Equations-International Journal of Nonlinear Science(Accepted on September 6, 2010).
- [11] B.Selvaraj and I.Mohammed ali jaffer : Oscillation Theorems of Solutions For Certain Third Order Functional Difference Equations With Delay-Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences(Accepted on October 20,2010)
- [12] B.Selvaraj and I.Mohammed ali jaffer: On The Oscillation of the Solution to Third Order Difference Equations(Journal of Computer and Mathematical Sciences-An International Research Journal- Accepted).

- [13] B.Selvaraj and J.Daphy Louis Lovenia : Oscillation behavoir of fourth order neutral difference equations with variable coefficients- Far East Journal of Mathemati cal Sciences, Vol 35, Issue 2,2009, pp 225-231.
- [14] E.Thandapani and B.Selvaraj: Existence and Asymptotic Behavior of Non oscillatory Solutions of Certain Non-linear Difference equation -Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences 14(1)(2004), pp: 9-25.
- [15] E.Thandapani and B.Selvaraj: Oscillatory and Non-oscillatory Behavior of Fourth order Quasi-linear Difference equation -Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences 17(3)(2004)287-307.
- [16] E.Thandapani and B.Selvaraj: Oscillation of Fourth order Quasi-linear Difference equation-Fasci culi Mathematici Nr, 37(2007),109-119.
- [17] E.Thandapani and B.S.Lalli : Oscillations criteria for a second order damped difference equations- Appl.math.Lett.vol.8;No:1 ; PP 1-6, 1995
- [18] E.Thandapani, I.Gyori and B.S.Lalli : An application of discrete inequality to second order non-linear oscillation.- J.Math.Anal.Appl.186(1994),200-208
- [19] E.Thandapani and S.Pandian : On the oscillatory behavior of solutions of second order nonlinear difference equations- ZZA 13, 347-358(1994)
- [20] E.Thandapani and S.Pandian : Oscillation theorem for non- linear second order difference equations with a non linear damping term- Tamkang J.Math.26(1995),pp 49-58
- [21] E.Thandapani : Asymptopic and oscillatory behavior of solutions of non linear second order difference equations- Indian J.Pure and Appl.Math, 24(6), 365-372(1993)
- [22] E.Thandepani ,K.Ravi : Oscillation of second order half linear difference equations-Appl.Math.Lett.13: 43-49(2000)